

Docket No.: 249422US2

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/787,095

Applicants: Yoshinori HAYASHI, et al.

Filing Date: February 27, 2004

For: OPTICAL SCANNER AND IMAGE FORMING

APPARATUS Group Art Unit: 2872 Examiner: KHATRI, P.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Hiroyuki Yasuda

Registration No. 55,751



DOCKET NO: 249422US2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

YOSHINORI HAYASHI, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: KHATRI, P.

SERIAL NO: 10/787,095

FILED: FEBRUARY 27, 2004

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2872

COD COMICAL COA

FOR: OPTICAL SCANNER AND IMAGE

FORMING APPARATUS

PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Election of Species Requirement stated in the Official Action dated July 14, 2005, Applicants provisionally elect the species a. corresponding to the embodiment of Fig. 1, and identify Claims 1-9 and 23, as readable on the elected species.

Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding election requirement for the following reason.

MPEP §803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present application would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that there is no undue burden on the Examiner to search all the claims under MPEP §803, and traverses the Election of Species Requirement

Application No. 10/787,095

Reply to Office Action of July 14, 2005

on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single disclosed species be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of each of Claims 1-26 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Hiroyuki Yasuda Registration No. 55,751

GJM/HY/JM I:\ATTY\HY\24\$\249422U\$\249422_ELECTION.DOC